UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,962	06/13/2006	Takafumi Matsumura	056205.57280US	7352
23911 CROWELL & I	7590 02/25/200 MORING LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			RUSH, ERIC	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/25/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/563,962	MATSUMURA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ERIC RUSH	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Fe</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3,4,6-11,13 and 15-20 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3,4,6-11,13 and 15-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 13 June 2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/06/2009 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. This action is responsive to the amendments and remarks received on 02/06/2009. Claims 3-4, 6-11, 13 and 15-20 are currently pending.

Claim Objections

3. The objection to claim 4 is withdrawn in view of the amendment received on 02/06/2009.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2624

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 3 4, 6 11, 13 and 15 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagasaka et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,970,234 in view of Chou U.S. Patent No. 7,200,250 and further in view of Sugino et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,603,867.
 - With regards to claim 3, Nagasaka et al. teach a personal identification device, comprising an infrared source for illuminating an infrared ray to a target to be identified, (Nagasaka et al., Column 4 Lines 4 27) and a light receiving element row facing the infrared source and containing a plurality of light receiving elements forming a line and having a elongated side which receive the infrared ray illuminated from said infrared source, (Nagasaka et al., column 4 Lines 4 27) and such that, when said target to be identified is relatively scanned with respect to said light receiving element row, (Nagasaka et al., Column 5 lines 47 58) a two-dimensional image representing a blood vessel pattern of said target to be identified is

Art Unit: 2624

produced from outputs of said light receiving element row (Nagasaka et al., Column 5 Lines 47 – 58) and relative displacement information of said target to be identified, (Nagasaka et al., Column 4 Lines 37 - 58 the button along with the guided groove relay displacement information of said target to the personal identification device, i.e. correct position of the finger and/or distance from imaging unit, "The direction in which the entire finger is oriented is determined accordingly...") thereby performing personal identification based on the produced image, (Nagasaka et al., Column 7 Lines 33 - 43) wherein said light receiving element row is provided with a filter member allowing transmission of only a component of incident light that enters substantially perpendicularly to said light receiving element row. (Nagasaki et al., Column 3 Lines 63 - 65, and Column 3 Line 65 -Column 4 Line 2, Nagasaki et al. teach providing the light receiving element row with a filter member, the side walls, which prevents, i.e. filters, incident extraneous light from radiating on the light receiving element row, due to the side walls only substantially perpendicular light emitted form the light source will impinge upon the light receiving element row.) Nagasaka et al. teach a filter member allowing transmission of only a component of incident light. (Nagasaka et al., Fig. 8 Element 802, Column 4 Lines 22 – 27 and Column 9 Lines 30 – 37, although Nagasaka et al. teach the light source being provided with the optical filter) Nagasaka et al. fail to specifically teach wherein element row from a direction

Application/Control Number: 10/563,962

Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

perpendicular to the elongated side of said light receiving element and wherein said light receiving element row is provided with a filter member. Chou teaches wherein the device is so configured that said target to be identified is insertable between the infrared source and the light receiving element row from a direction perpendicular to the elongated side of said light receiving element. (Chou, Figs. 3 and 4, Column 4 Lines 49 - 63) Chou fails to teach wherein said light receiving element row is provided with a filter member. Sugino et al. teach wherein said light receiving element row is provided with a filter member. (Sugino et al., Column 5 Lines 4 - 18) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Nagasaka et al. to include the teachings of Chou. This modification would have been prompted in order to incorporate a sweep-type imaging sensor into the system of Nagasaka et al. This would have been necessitated in order to allow for smaller and more compact identification systems. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combined teachings of Nagasaka et al. in view of Chou with the teachings of Sugino et al. This modification would have been prompted because Nagasaka et al. teach the inclusion of a filter member to only allow transmission of certain components of the light but fail to teach the filter being placed in front of the light receiving element. One would have been motivated to place the filter in front of the light

Art Unit: 2624

receiving element row as taught by Sugino et al. in order to aid in preventing any extraneous light components from impinging upon the light receiving elements since the filter is set as close to the light receiving elements as possible.

- With regards to claim 4, Nagasaka et al. in view of Chou and further in view of Sugino et al. teach the personal identification device according to claim 3. Nagasaka et al. teach wherein said target to be identified is a human hand or finger. (Nagasaka et al., Column 5 Lines 47 58)
- With regards to claim 6, Nagasaka et al. in view of Chou and further in view of Sugino et al. teach the personal identification device according to claim 3. Nagasaka et al. teach wherein a position detecting device for detecting a position of said target to be identified is disposed, (Nagasaka et al., Column 4 Lines 38 58) and said two-dimensional image of said target to be identified is produced from the outputs of said light receiving element row and position information from said position detecting device. (Nagasaka et al., Column 5 Lines 47 58, "With a press of a switch, an image signal representing a hemal pattern is acquired")
- With regards to claim 7, Nagasaka et al. in view of Chou and further in view of Sugino et al. teach the personal identification device according to

Application/Control Number: 10/563,962

Art Unit: 2624

claim 3. Nagasaka et al. teach wherein an identified-target detecting device for detecting the presence or absence of said target to be identified is disposed in a position away from said light receiving element row.

(Nagasaka et al., Column 4 Lines 38 – 58, the button along with the guided groove relay displacement information of said target to the personal identification device, i.e. correct position of the finger and/or distance from imaging unit, and with the activation of the button the presence of the target is identified Column 5 Lines 47 - 58)

Page 7

With regards to claims 8 and 9, Nagasaka et al. in view of Chou and further in view of Sugino et al. teach the personal identification device according to claim 7. Nagasaka et al. fail to teach wherein said identified-target detecting device is disposed in plural, a speed of said target to be identified is computed from a difference between passage times of one end of said target to be identified, which are detected by said plurality of identified-target detecting devices, and distance correction of said image in a scan direction is performed based on the speed of said target to be identified. Chou teaches wherein said identified-target detecting device is disposed in plural, (Chou, Figs. 4, 7, & 10, Column 3 Lines 50 - 63) a speed of said target to be identified is computed from a difference between passage times of one end of said target to be identified, (Chou, Column 3 Line 50 - Column 4 Line 14) which are detected by said plurality

Art Unit: 2624

of identified-target detecting devices, (Chou, Column 3 Line 50 – Column 4 Line 14, Column 5 Line 23 – Column 6 Line 8) and distance correction of said image in a scan direction is performed based on the speed of said target to be identified. (Chou, Fig. 10, Column 5 Line 23 – Column 6 Line 8, Column 7 Lines 5 - 11) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combined teachings of Nagasaka et al. in view of Chou and further in view of Sugino et al. to include the teachings of Chou. This modification would have been prompted in order to incorporate a sweep-type imaging sensor into the system of Nagasaka et al. This would have been necessitated in order to allow for smaller and more compact identification systems.

With regards to claim 10, Nagasaka et al. in view of Chou and further in view of Sugino et al. teach the personal identification device according to claim 3. Nagasaka et al. is silent to wherein said light receiving element row contains a plurality of light receiving elements arrayed along a straight line. Examiner takes official notice of the fact that light receiving element rows contain a plurality of light receiving elements being arranged in a line is well known in the art. Therefore it would have been obvious to include such a light receiving element with the teachings of Nagasaka et al. to obtain image information in a standard format. This statement is taken to

Application/Control Number: 10/563,962

Art Unit: 2624

be admitted prior art or well-known in the art because applicant failed to traverse the Examiner's assertion of Official Notice.

Page 9

- With regards to claim 11, Nagasaka et al. in view of Chou and further in view of Sugino et al. teach the personal identification device according to claim 3. Nagasaka et al. is silent to wherein said light receiving element row contains a plurality of light receiving elements arrayed along a curved line. Examiner takes official notice of the fact that light receiving element rows contain a plurality of light receiving elements being arranged in a line or any other shape is well known in the art. Therefore it would have been obvious to include such a light receiving element with the teachings of Nagasaka et al. to obtain image information in a standard format consistent with the shape of a finger. This statement is taken to be admitted prior art or well-known in the art because applicant failed to traverse the Examiner's assertion of Official Notice.
- With regards to claim 13, Nagasaka et al. in view of Chou and further in view of Sugino et al. teach the personal identification device according to claim 10. Nagasaka et al. is silent to wherein an interval between two adjacent light receiving elements in said light receiving element row is from 0.02 mm to 0.5 mm. Examiner takes official notice of the fact that spacing between light receiving elements in a light receiving means being

Art Unit: 2624

from 0.02 mm to 0.5 mm is well known in the art. Therefore it would have been obvious to include such spacing within this range with the teachings of Nagasaka et al. in order to generate a detailed blood vessel image for identification purposes. This statement is taken to be admitted prior art or well-known in the art because applicant failed to traverse the Examiner's assertion of Official Notice.

- With regards to claim 15, Nagasaka et al. in view of Chou and further in view of Sugino et al. teach the personal identification device according to claim 3. Nagasaka et al. teach wherein said light source and said light receiving element row are disposed in a casing, (Nagasaka et al., Column 3 Line 53 Column 4 Line 3) said device being configured to cause light from said light source to illuminate the finger inserted in said casing, (Nagasaka et al., Column 4 Lines 4 16) and said casing has a cavity in which the finger is inserted, (Nagasaka et al., Column 3 Line 53 Column 4 Line 3) the depth of said cavity defining the elongated side. (Nagasaka et al., Column 4 Lines 4 16, Column 8 Lines 11 18)
- With regards to claims 16 and 17, Nagasaka et al. in view of Chou and further in view of Sugino et al. teach the personal identification device according to claim 3. Nagasaka et al. teach the device comprising a C-shaped support member including a first member, a second member and

Art Unit: 2624

a third member for connecting said first and second members to each other, (Nagasaka et al., Column 9 Lines 5 - 22) said infrared source being mounted to said first member, (Nagasaka et al., Column 4 Lines 4 – 27, Column 9 Lines 5 - 22) and said light receiving element row being mounted to said second member, (Nagasaka et al., Column 9 Lines 5 - 22) said device operating such that when a finger is scanned over said light receiving element row. (Nagasaka et al., Column 4 Lines 4 – 27, Column 4 Lines 38 - 58)

- With regards to claim 18, Nagasaka et al. in view of Chou and further in view of Sugino et al. teach the personal identification device according to claim 15. Nagasaka et al. teach wherein said casing has a smooth inner surface to prevent a part of the infrared ray from said infrared source, which has been reflected by the finger, from entering said light receiving element row. (Nagasaka et al., Column 3 Line 63 Column 4 Line 3)
- With regards to claim 19, Nagasaka et al. in view of Chou and further in view of Sugino et al. teach the personal identification device according to claim 3. Nagasaka et al. teach wherein personal identification is performed by comparing a previously registered feature parameter and a feature parameter of an image obtained from the outputs of said light receiving element row. (Nagasaka et al., Column 7 Lines 33 43)

Art Unit: 2624

7. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagasaka et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,970,234 in view of Chou U.S. Patent No. 7,200,250 and further in view of Sugino et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,603,867 as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Asai et al. U.S. Patent No. 4,701,959.

With regards to claim 20, Nagasaka et al. in view of Chou and further in view of Sugino et al. teach the personal identification device according to claim 6. Nagasaka et al. teach wherein said position detecting device is provided with a button capable of being pushed by the finger. (Nagasaka et al., Column 8 Lines 11 – 34) Nagasaka et al. fail to teach wherein cleaning means is mounted to said button, and a surface of said light receiving element row is cleaned with scan of said button. Asai et al. teach wherein cleaning means is mounted to said button, (Asai et al., Fig. 3) and a surface of said light receiving element row is cleaned with scan of said button. (Asai et al., Column 4 Lines 33 – 64) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combined teachings of Nagasaka et al. in view of Chou and further in view of Sugino et al. to include the teachings of Asai et al. This modification would have been prompted because Nagasaka et al. show concern for a clean identification surface Column 4 Lines 51 - 60. Therefore a more

Art Unit: 2624

proactive approach to cleaning such as that disclosed by Asai et al. would have been prompted for a more effective cleaning approach.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ERIC RUSH whose telephone number is (571)270-3017. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM - 5:00PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on (571) 272-7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Matthew C Bella/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624

ER